

left wing communism an infantile disorder

Left wing communism an infantile disorder is a phrase originally coined by Vladimir Lenin to critique certain tendencies within revolutionary movements that, while driven by genuine socialist ideals, exhibit immature, unrealistic, or counterproductive behaviors. This concept has since become a vital part of Marxist-Leninist discourse, serving as a warning against deviations from pragmatic revolutionary strategies and the importance of political maturity in the struggle for socialism. Understanding this term involves exploring its historical roots, its core characteristics, and its relevance in contemporary leftist politics. In this comprehensive article, we delve into the origins, key concepts, and implications of the idea that left wing communism is, in essence, an infantile disorder.

Origins and Historical Context

The Term's Introduction by Vladimir Lenin

In his 1920 pamphlet titled "Left-Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder," Vladimir Lenin critically examined the behaviors and attitudes prevalent among some revolutionary groups during the Russian Revolution. Lenin argued that certain factions displayed juvenile, impractical, or dogmatic approaches that hindered the broader cause of proletarian revolution. He viewed these tendencies as a form of political immaturity that needed correction for the revolution to succeed.

The Political Climate of the Early 20th Century

The early 20th century was marked by intense class struggles, rapid industrialization, and revolutionary upheavals across the globe. Various leftist factions emerged, often diverging in their tactics, ideologies, and strategies. Lenin's critique aimed to unify revolutionary efforts by emphasizing discipline, pragmatic tactics, and a clear understanding of the revolutionary process, warning against sectarianism and ideological rigidity.

Core Concepts of Left Wing Communism as an Infantile Disorder

Definition and Main Features

Left wing communism as an infantile disorder refers to immature, overly idealistic, or dogmatic behaviors among revolutionary groups that:

- Reject cooperation with broader masses or other factions
- Prioritize purity over practical progress
- Exhibit impatience or revolutionary extremism
- Fail to recognize the complexities of revolutionary work
- Engage in sectarianism, splitting, or infighting

These behaviors are considered "infantile" because they mirror childish attitudes—such as stubbornness, impulsiveness, and a lack of understanding of social realities—hindering effective revolutionary activity.

Key Characteristics

To better understand this concept, it's essential to identify its main traits:

- Ideological Rigidity: Insisting on strict adherence to doctrinal purity, often at the expense of strategic alliances or pragmatic tactics.
- Impatience: Demanding immediate revolutionary change rather than working through gradual or strategic stages.
- Sectarianism: Dividing or isolating oneself from broader movements or classes, leading to fragmentation.
- Rejection of Mass Work: Disregarding the importance of engaging with the working class or wider society.
- Ultranationalism or Dogmatism: Overemphasizing ideological orthodoxy, sometimes leading to extremism.

The Impact of Infantile Disorder on Revolutionary Movements

Negative Consequences

Adopting infantile behaviors within leftist movements can be detrimental:

- Fragmentation of the Movement: Sectarian splits weaken revolutionary efforts.
- Loss of Popular Support: Ignoring or dismissing the masses alienates potential allies.
- Strategic Failures: Rigid tactics hinder adaptation to changing circumstances.
- Delayed Revolution: Impatience can lead to premature actions that undermine long-term goals.
- Undermining Unity: Infighting and doctrinal disputes weaken the overall revolutionary front.

Historical Examples

Several historical instances exemplify the pitfalls of left wing infantile disorder:

- Early Russian Revolution: Some factions refused to collaborate with other revolutionary groups or the bourgeoisie, leading to organizational fragmentation.
- Trotskyism vs. Stalinism: Divergences over tactics and ideology sometimes resulted in sectarian conflicts that hampered the unified struggle.
- Post-Revolutionary Movements: Leftist splinters that refused to cooperate with broader social processes often faced marginalization or failure.

Lenin's Critique and Prescription

Lenin's Analysis in "Left-Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder"

Lenin argued that these immature tendencies stem from a lack of political maturity, insufficient understanding of revolutionary strategy, or revolutionary impatience. He emphasized that revolutionary work requires flexibility, tact, and unity, cautioning against dogmatic purity that isolates revolutionary groups from the masses.

Strategies to Overcome Infantile Tendencies

Lenin proposed several measures to combat infantile behavior:

1. Education and Political Maturity: Cultivating a realistic understanding of revolutionary work.
2. Unity and Discipline: Building disciplined organizations that prioritize strategic goals over sectarian purity.
3. Engagement with the Masses: Recognizing the importance of mass work and broad alliances.
4. Pragmatism: Balancing ideological commitment with practical tactics.
5. Leadership and Organization: Developing strong leadership to guide revolutionary efforts effectively.

The Relevance of the Concept Today

Contemporary Leftist Movements

The idea that left wing communism can be an infantile disorder remains relevant in modern political discourse, especially in debates over tactics, unity, and ideological purity within socialist, communist, and broader leftist movements.

Modern Examples of Infantile Tendencies

- Ultraleftism: Refusal to collaborate with broader progressive coalitions.
- Dogmatism: Rigid adherence to ideological principles at the expense of strategic compromise.
- Impatience for Revolution: Advocating for immediate revolutionary upheaval without considering material conditions.
- Sectarian Splits: Fragmentation within leftist parties and groups, weakening collective strength.

Strategies for Modern Leftist Movements

To avoid falling into infantile disorder, contemporary movements should:

- Emphasize unity and coalition-building.
- Prioritize practical goals aligned with the masses' needs.
- Maintain ideological flexibility without compromising core principles.
- Engage in continuous education about revolutionary strategy.
- Foster leadership that promotes discipline and strategic thinking.

Conclusion: Embracing Political Maturity

Understanding left wing communism as an infantile disorder underscores the importance of political maturity, strategic pragmatism, and unity within revolutionary movements. While ideals and purity are vital, they must be balanced with the realities of social transformation. Recognizing and rectifying infantile tendencies is crucial for the success of revolutionary endeavors. As history has shown, immature, dogmatic, or sectarian behaviors can hinder progress, but with conscious effort, revolutionary movements can cultivate maturity, discipline, and broad alliances necessary for achieving lasting social change.

Key Takeaways

- The phrase was introduced by Vladimir Lenin to critique immature revolutionary behaviors.
- Infantile disorder manifests as rigidity, impatience, sectarianism, and rejection of mass work.
- Such tendencies weaken revolutionary unity and effectiveness.
- Lenin advocated for education, discipline, pragmatism, and mass engagement to combat these tendencies.
- Modern leftist movements must remain vigilant against infantile behaviors to ensure strategic and effective social transformation.

This comprehensive overview emphasizes the importance of political maturity within revolutionary movements and illustrates how avoiding infantile tendencies can lead to more successful, united efforts toward socialism and social justice. Understanding Lenin's critique remains essential for activists, scholars, and anyone committed to building a more equitable society.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the main argument presented by Lenin in 'Left-Wing' Communism: An Infantile Disorder?

Lenin argues that 'left-wing' communism is a juvenile and immature approach to revolutionary activity, criticizing certain sectarian tactics and advocating for a pragmatic, flexible strategy aligned with the broader goals of socialism.

How does Lenin define 'infantile disorder' in the context of communist movements?

Lenin describes 'infantile disorder' as the immature, dogmatic, and sectarian tendencies within communist movements that hinder effective revolutionary action and betray the broader revolutionary aims.

Why is understanding Lenin's critique of 'left-wing'

communism important for modern socialist movements?

Understanding Lenin's critique helps modern movements recognize the dangers of dogmatism and sectarianism, encouraging pragmatic approaches and unity to effectively achieve revolutionary goals.

What are some common examples of 'infantile' tactics criticized by Lenin in his work?

Lenin criticizes tactics such as abstaining from participating in elections, refusing to form alliances, and dismissing broad mass support as 'bourgeois,' as these are seen as immature and counterproductive.

How has 'Left-Wing' Communism influenced contemporary debates within leftist political groups?

The work has influenced debates by highlighting the importance of strategic flexibility, warning against sectarianism, and emphasizing the need for practical tactics in revolutionary movements.

Is Lenin's critique of 'left-wing' communism still relevant today?

Yes, Lenin's critique remains relevant as it addresses issues like dogmatism and inflexibility that can hinder social and political movements, emphasizing the importance of pragmatic, inclusive strategies.

Additional Resources

Left Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder

In the landscape of Marxist theory and revolutionary politics, the phrase "Left Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder" stands as a critical critique issued by Vladimir Lenin in 1920. This work, a comprehensive analysis of certain tendencies within the revolutionary movement, remains a foundational text for understanding the pitfalls and ideological pitfalls that can hinder socialist progress. The phrase itself, provocative and loaded, encapsulates Lenin's view that certain radical, immature, or sectarian tendencies—what he termed "infantile disorders"—pose a serious threat to the unity and effectiveness of the proletarian revolution.

This article aims to dissect this seminal work in an in-depth, analytical manner, presenting it as an expert review that explores its historical context, core ideas, key criticisms, and enduring relevance. Whether you're a student of Marxism, a political analyst, or simply a curious reader interested in revolutionary theory, this exploration will clarify the nuances of Lenin's critique and its significance in both historical and contemporary settings.

Historical Context and Background

Understanding "Left Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder" requires some appreciation of the broader revolutionary milieu of the early 20th century. The Russian Revolution of 1917 marked a turning point in world history, establishing the first socialist state and inspiring countless movements worldwide. However, within the revolutionary camp, ideological debates and disagreements were rife, often reflecting divergent interpretations of Marxist principles and strategies.

Key Background Elements:

- The Bolshevik Revolution: Led by Lenin, the October Revolution aimed to overthrow the provisional government and establish a proletariat dictatorship. The success of this movement depended heavily on disciplined unity and strategic clarity.
- Divergent Revolutionary Tendencies: Among revolutionaries, there were varying approaches—some advocating for immediate, radical action, others emphasizing patience, broad alliances, or ideological purity. These differences sometimes manifested as sectarianism or dogmatism.
- Emergence of "Leftism": The term "Left Wing" in this context refers to certain revolutionary tendencies that, while committed to the overthrow of capitalism, adopted positions that Lenin considered counterproductive or immature, such as rejection of alliances with other classes or parliamentary methods.
- The Need for Critique: Lenin saw the necessity to critique these tendencies to prevent fragmentation, ensure strategic unity, and avoid the pitfalls of sectarianism that could weaken the revolutionary movement.

Core Concepts of "Left Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder"

Lenin's work systematically analyzes various forms of "infantile" behavior—characterized by immaturity, dogmatism, and sectarianism—that he believed impeded revolutionary progress. The core concepts can be summarized as follows:

2.1 Definition of "Infantile Disorder"

Lenin characterizes "infantile disorder" as immature, naive, or overly radical attitudes that, while motivated by genuine revolutionary zeal, ultimately undermine strategic unity and practical effectiveness. These tendencies often reject compromise, dismiss alliances, or oppose necessary political tactics based on idealistic principles.

2.2 Manifestations of Infantile Disorder

Lenin identifies specific behaviors and attitudes that exemplify this disorder, including:

- Rejection of Alliances and Coalitions: Advocating for pure, "revolutionary" positions that refuse cooperation with other classes or political parties, even when such alliances are pragmatically necessary.
- Opposition to Parliamentary Methods: Dismissing parliamentary participation as bourgeois or counter-revolutionary, thereby neglecting the importance of legal and political avenues in certain contexts.
- Dogmatism and Purity: Insisting on strict ideological purity, which leads to sectarianism and fragmentation rather than united action.
- Impatience and Unrealistic Expectations: Demanding immediate revolutionary upheaval without considering the complex, protracted nature of social transformation.

2.3 The Danger to the Revolution

Lenin argues that these tendencies threaten the revolutionary cause by:

- Causing splits within the movement, weakening the overall strength of the proletarian front.
- Discouraging pragmatic alliances crucial for revolutionary success.
- Promoting a dogmatic approach that alienates potential allies and the broader masses.
- Leading to sectarian isolation, which hampers the revolutionary movement's ability to adapt to changing circumstances.

Critical Analysis of Lenin's Arguments

While Lenin's critique is rooted in specific historical contexts, its analytical framework offers valuable insights into revolutionary strategy and organizational discipline. Here, we analyze the strengths and limitations of his arguments.

2.1 Strengths of the Critique

- Emphasis on Pragmatism: Lenin underscores the importance of flexibility and strategic alliances, recognizing that revolutionary success often requires pragmatic compromises.
- Warning Against Sectarianism: The warning against dogmatism remains relevant, reminding activists to avoid purity tests that fragment movements.
- Historical Evidence: Lenin's examples from the Russian revolutionary movement demonstrate how infantile tendencies can weaken revolutionary efforts, especially during critical junctures.

2.2 Limitations and Criticisms

- Potential for Overreach: Critics argue that Lenin's characterization of certain leftist tendencies as "infantile" risks dismissing genuine ideological disagreements or alternative strategies.
- Contextual Specificity: Some interpret Lenin's critique as specific to the Russian Revolution,

questioning its applicability to other contexts or modern social movements.

- Repression of Dissent: The tendency to label dissenting voices as infantile might suppress necessary debates within revolutionary circles.

2.3 The Balance Between Discipline and Flexibility

A key takeaway from Lenin's critique is the importance of maintaining organizational discipline while allowing room for ideological debate and evolution. Revolutionary movements must strike a balance between unity and diversity of thought to avoid the pitfalls of sectarianism.

Relevance and Legacy in Contemporary Politics

Though penned over a century ago, Lenin's "Left Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder" continues to resonate with contemporary activists, political parties, and social movements. Its lessons are applicable across various contexts where revolutionary or radical politics are pursued.

3.1 Modern Parallels

- Sectarian Tendencies: Some modern movements exhibit dogmatism or purity tests that fracture alliances or alienate broader constituencies.
- Rejection of Electoral Politics: The debate over the efficacy of parliamentary participation echoes Lenin's critique of rejecting political avenues outright.
- Impatience and Radicalism: Movements that demand immediate transformation without strategic buildup risk alienating potential allies or facing repression.

3.2 Lessons for Today's Activists

- Promote Unity Without Compromising Principles: Maintain ideological clarity while engaging in pragmatic alliances.
- Avoid Dogmatism: Be open to diverse tactics and perspectives, recognizing the complexity of social change.
- Strategic Patience: Understand that revolutionary change is often a protracted process requiring perseverance and adaptability.
- Cultivate Organizational Discipline: Ensure that the movement remains focused and resilient against internal sectarian tendencies.

3.3 Critiques and Ongoing Debates

Modern scholars and activists debate the applicability of Lenin's critique, especially in contexts where revolutionary strategy must adapt to new technological, social, and political realities. Some argue that strict adherence to Lenin's notions of discipline and unity can stifle necessary debates or

suppress dissent.

Conclusion: The Enduring Significance of Lenin's Critique

"Left Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder" remains a landmark work that offers a profound warning against the perils of sectarianism, dogmatism, and immature revolutionary behavior. Its core message emphasizes the importance of strategic unity, pragmatic alliances, and ideological flexibility—principles that continue to underpin successful revolutionary and social movements today.

While the terminology may sound harsh, Lenin's critique serves as a reminder that revolutionary zeal must be tempered with discipline, realism, and openness. Recognizing and avoiding the infantile disorders he describes can help movements avoid internal fragmentation and increase their chances of achieving meaningful, lasting change.

In essence, the work is not merely a historical document but a living guide for building resilient, inclusive, and effective revolutionary organizations—an enduring legacy that continues to inform and challenge activists worldwide.

[Left Wing Communism An Infantile Disorder](#)

left wing communism an infantile disorder: *"Left Wing" Communism* Vladimir Il'ich Lenin, 1921

left wing communism an infantile disorder: Left-Wing Communism Lenin, 2012-11-04
Left communism is the range of communist viewpoints held by the communist left, which criticizes the political ideas of the Bolsheviks at certain periods, from a position that is asserted to be more authentically Marxist and proletarian than the views of Leninism held by the Communist International after its first and during its second congress. Left communism first came into being as a clear movement in or around 1918. Its essential features were: a stress on the need to build a communist party entirely separate from the reformist and centrist elements who were seen as having betrayed socialism in 1914, opposition to all but the most restricted participation in elections and an emphasis on the need for revolutionaries to move on the offensive. Apart from that, there was little in common between the various wings. Only the Italians accepted the need for electoral work at all for a very short period of time, which they later vehemently opposed, attracting the wrath of Lenin attacking Bordiga in *Left-Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder*.

left wing communism an infantile disorder: Left-Wing Communism, an Infantile Disorder Vladimir I. Lenin, 2008-03-01 This translation of V.I. Lenin's essay is taken from the text of the *Collected Works of V.I. Lenin*, Vol. 31.

left wing communism an infantile disorder: *Left Wing Communism* Vladimir Ilyich Lenin, 2016-09-16 With this now-classic work, Lenin aimed to encapsulate the lessons the Bolshevik Party had learned from its involvement in three revolutions in 12 years—in a manner that European Communists could relate to, for it was to them he was speaking. He also further develops the theory

of what the dictatorship of the proletariat means and stresses that the primary danger for the working-class movement in general is opportunism on the one hand, and anti-Marxist ultra-leftism on the other. *Left-Wing Communism: an Infantile Disorder* was written in April, and the appendix was written on May 12, 1920. It came out on June 8-10 in Russian and in July was published in German, English and French. Lenin gave personal attention to the book's type-setting and printing schedule so that it would be published before the opening of the Second Congress of the Communist International, each delegate receiving a copy. Between July and November 1920, the book was re-published in Leipzig, Paris and London, in the German, French and English languages respectively. *Left-Wing Communism: an Infantile Disorder* is published according to the first edition print, the proofs of which were read by Lenin himself.

left wing communism an infantile disorder: "Left Wing" Communism V. I. Lenin, 2018-04-03 *Left-Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder* is a work by Vladimir Lenin attacking assorted critics of the Bolsheviks who claimed positions to their left. Most of these critics were proponents of ideologies later described as left communism. The book was written in 1920 and published in Russian, German, English and French later in the year. A copy was then distributed to each delegate at the 2nd World Congress of the Comintern, several of whom were mentioned by Lenin in the work. The present volume is a New Translation that was first published in the U.S. in 1940 and the UK in 1942. As with the earlier editions, the book is divided into ten chapters and contains an appendix, including a letter from David Wijnkoop on behalf of the Communist Party of Holland.

left wing communism an infantile disorder: "Left Wing" Communism Vladimir Il'ich Lenin, 1920

left wing communism an infantile disorder: Left-Wing Communism: an Infantile Disorder V. I. Lenin, 2022-09-18 *Left-Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder* was written by famous Marxist philosopher V.I. Lenin in response to criticisms of the Bolshevik Party in Russia following the success of the Proletarian Revolution of 1917. The text was originally published in 1920.

left wing communism an infantile disorder: "Left-wing" Communism Vladimir Il'ich Lenin, 1934

left wing communism an infantile disorder: "Leftwing" Communism, an Infantile Disorder Vladimir Il'ich Lenin, 1920

left wing communism an infantile disorder: "Left-wing" Communism, an Infantile Disorder Vladimir Il'ich Lenin, 1965

left wing communism an infantile disorder: Left-wing Communism, an Infantile Disorder I. Lenin, VI, 2016-08-11 Lenin's famous work explaining some of the international significance of the Russian Revolution. He explains why communists should work in bourgeois parliaments and reactionary trade unions, and in general exposes the left errors that arose in some of the new communist parties.

left wing communism an infantile disorder: Left Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder (1921) N. Lenin, 2009-07 This scarce antiquarian book is a facsimile reprint of the original. Due to its age, it may contain imperfections such as marks, notations, marginalia and flawed pages. Because we believe this work is culturally important, we have made it available as part of our commitment for protecting, preserving, and promoting the world's literature in affordable, high quality, modern editions that are true to the original work.

left wing communism an infantile disorder: Left-Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder Vladimir I. U. Lenin, 1937

left wing communism an infantile disorder: 'Left-Wing' Communism: An Infantile Disorder V.I. Lenin, The Bolsheviks led the workers to power in the October Revolution of 1917. To ensure its survival, they grappled with the task of spreading the revolution beyond Russia. 'Left-Wing' Communism: An Infantile Disorder was written in 1920 to educate the newly-formed communist parties of the Third International, and to correct the ultra-left, sectarian trends that infected many of

them. Inspired by the Revolution and repelled by the betrayals of social democracy, these communists had not absorbed the real lessons of Bolshevism. The majority of workers still looked to reformist parties, and needed to be won away from the influence of reformist leaders in these. The task was to win them over to the banner of revolutionary communism. In this text, Lenin explains the methods and skilful tactics of the Bolshevik Party, which enabled them to win over a majority of the workers to their programme. Without this strategic brilliance, there would have been no October Revolution. Any serious revolutionary communist today must study, absorb and apply Lenin's methods on these vital questions of revolutionary strategy and tactics.

left wing communism an infantile disorder: Left-wing communism Vladimir Ilić Lenin, 1947

left wing communism an infantile disorder: Left-Wing Communism Vladimir Ilich Lenin, 2025-01-17 Left-Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder by Vladimir Ilyich Lenin is a critical work written in 1920 during the early years of the Soviet state. This pamphlet addresses challenges within the international communist movement, particularly the tendencies of left-wing radicals who rejected pragmatic strategies and the necessary compromises required for revolutionary success. Lenin uses this work to guide communist parties in their efforts to build socialism globally, offering insights drawn from the experience of the Bolshevik Revolution.

left wing communism an infantile disorder: "Left-wing" Communism, an Infantile Disorder Vladimir Il'ich Lenin, 1934

left wing communism an infantile disorder: Left Wing Communism Vladimir I. Lenin, 1996-12-31

left wing communism an infantile disorder: "Left-Wing" Communism, an Infantile Disorder Lénine, 2020-06

left wing communism an infantile disorder: Lenin Progress Publishers, 1981

Related to left wing communism an infantile disorder

word usage - How to use "left" about remaining - English Language Sometimes, I heard or saw sentences with "left". For example: Be left A: How many windows are left? B: There are two windows left. I wonder what "left" is. Is that the past participle of verb

Left vs. left from - English Language Learners Stack Exchange I was under the impression that "left" and "left from" have very different meanings - for example, we can say: "The car left the garage an hour ago" Or "A pile of rubble is all that's left fro

Chrome is all the way to the left off the desktop screen. The only visible part of the browser is the red x and the elevator. I cannot move the browser to the left or resize the browser. I uninstalled the browser and re-installed the browser and still have

LEFT - Google Docs Editors Help LEFT("lorem ipsum") Syntax LEFT(string, [number_of_characters]) string - The string from which the left portion will be returned. number_of_characters - [OPTIONAL - 1 by default] - The

prepositions - She is in /on the left side of the picture? - English The person to the left in a photo was standing on the right when it was taken! So if you are describing a person's position in a photograph technically I suppose you should say " on the

Left, Has Left or Is Left? - English Language Learners Stack Exchange 2 Though all these mean the same that he has left the office, there's subtle difference. He left for the day - You are not specific at what time he left. He has left for the day - You mean he left

word usage - On his left or to his left - English Language Learners On/to his left was a table. John saw that to/on his left was a table. On Google, I found more results with "to", but I guess that doesn't make "on" wrong. To my ear, "on" refers to a more generic

left Vs. remained? - English Language Learners Stack Exchange I'm totally confused about the usage of left and remain- in many situations. For example, when talking about the quantity of the classes that I have to take today after I took

"I was leaving" vs "I left" - English Language Learners Stack Exchange They left before you

did. It doesn't matter whether you say "when I left" or "when I was leaving". From the past continuous "was leaving", one might—might—infer that you

Edit & view text from right to left - Computer - Google Help Edit & view text from right to left Right-to-left controls turn on automatically when you open a document, spreadsheet, or presentation with right-to-left text, or when you add text in a right-to

word usage - How to use “left” about remaining - English Language Sometimes, I heard or saw sentences with “left”. For example: Be left A: How many windows are left? B: There are two windows left. I wonder what “left” is. Is that the past participle of verb

Left vs. left from - English Language Learners Stack Exchange I was under the impression that “left” and “left from” have very different meanings – for example, we can say: “The car left the garage an hour ago” Or “A pile of rubble is all that’s left fro

Chrome is all the way to the left off the desktop screen. The only visible part of the browser is the red x and the elevator. I cannot move the browser to the left or resize the browser. I uninstalled the browser and re-installed the browser and still have

LEFT - Google Docs Editors Help LEFT("lorem ipsum") Syntax LEFT(string, [number_of_characters]) string - The string from which the left portion will be returned. number_of_characters - [OPTIONAL - 1 by default] - The

prepositions - She is in /on the left side of the picture? - English The person to the left in a photo was standing on the right when it was taken! So if you are describing a person's position in a photograph technically I suppose you should say " on the

Left, Has Left or Is Left? - English Language Learners Stack Exchange 2 Though all these mean the same that he has left the office, there's subtle difference. He left for the day - You are not specific at what time he left. He has left for the day - You mean he left

word usage - On his left or to his left - English Language Learners On/to his left was a table. John saw that to/on his left was a table. On Google, I found more results with "to", but I guess that doesn't make "on" wrong. To my ear, "on" refers to a more generic

left Vs. remained? - English Language Learners Stack Exchange I'm totally confused about the usage of left and remain- in many situations. For example, when talking about the quantity of the classes that I have to take today after I took

"I was leaving" vs "I left" - English Language Learners Stack Exchange They left before you did. It doesn't matter whether you say "when I left" or "when I was leaving". From the past continuous "was leaving", one might—might—infer that you

Edit & view text from right to left - Computer - Google Help Edit & view text from right to left Right-to-left controls turn on automatically when you open a document, spreadsheet, or presentation with right-to-left text, or when you add text in a right-to

word usage - How to use “left” about remaining - English Language Sometimes, I heard or saw sentences with “left”. For example: Be left A: How many windows are left? B: There are two windows left. I wonder what “left” is. Is that the past participle of verb

Left vs. left from - English Language Learners Stack Exchange I was under the impression that “left” and “left from” have very different meanings – for example, we can say: “The car left the garage an hour ago” Or “A pile of rubble is all that’s left fro

Chrome is all the way to the left off the desktop screen. The only visible part of the browser is the red x and the elevator. I cannot move the browser to the left or resize the browser. I uninstalled the browser and re-installed the browser and still have

LEFT - Google Docs Editors Help LEFT("lorem ipsum") Syntax LEFT(string, [number_of_characters]) string - The string from which the left portion will be returned. number_of_characters - [OPTIONAL - 1 by default] - The

prepositions - She is in /on the left side of the picture? - English The person to the left in a photo was standing on the right when it was taken! So if you are describing a person's position in a photograph technically I suppose you should say " on the

Left, Has Left or Is Left? - English Language Learners Stack Exchange 2 Though all these

mean the same that he has left the office, there's subtle difference. He left for the day - You are not specific at what time he left. He has left for the day - You mean he left

word usage - On his left or to his left - English Language Learners On/to his left was a table. John saw that to/on his left was a table. On Google, I found more results with "to", but I guess that doesn't make "on" wrong. To my ear, "on" refers to a more generic

left Vs. remained? - English Language Learners Stack Exchange I'm totally confused about the usage of left and remain- in many situations. For example, when talking about the quantity of the classes that I have to take today after I took

"I was leaving" vs "I left" - English Language Learners Stack Exchange They left before you did. It doesn't matter whether you say "when I left" or "when I was leaving". From the past continuous "was leaving", one might—might—infer that you

Edit & view text from right to left - Computer - Google Help Edit & view text from right to left Right-to-left controls turn on automatically when you open a document, spreadsheet, or presentation with right-to-left text, or when you add text in a right-to

Related Articles

- [ethiopia on a map of africa](#)
- [happy and funny quotes](#)
- [iron maiden the book of souls](#)

[Back to Home](#)