

michael walzer just and unjust wars

Michael Walzer Just and Unjust Wars

Understanding the complex ethical landscape surrounding warfare has been a central concern of political philosophers and ethicists for centuries. Among the most influential figures in this discourse is Michael Walzer, whose seminal work, *Just and Unjust Wars*, offers a comprehensive analysis of the moral principles that should guide the decision to go to war and the conduct within war. Published in 1977, Walzer's book remains a foundational text in just war theory, shaping debates on military ethics, international law, and political morality.

Overview of Michael Walzer's Just and Unjust Wars

Michael Walzer's *Just and Unjust Wars* critically examines the moral criteria that distinguish justifiable wars from unjust ones. Building upon classical just war theory—originally articulated by thinkers such as Augustine, Aquinas, and Grotius—Walzer introduces nuanced arguments about the ethics of war, emphasizing the importance of moral constraints on both the decision to fight and how wars are fought.

His approach is characterized by a focus on moral realism, asserting that war is a morally significant activity that must adhere to certain ethical standards. Walzer's work distinguishes between *jus ad bellum* (the justice of going to war) and *jus in bello* (justice in the conduct of war), framing his analysis around these two core principles.

Core Principles of Just and Unjust Wars

Walzer's theory revolves around two fundamental questions:

1. When is it morally permissible to initiate war? (*jus ad bellum*)
2. How should war be conducted ethically? (*jus in bello*)

Jus ad Bellum: Conditions for Just War

Walzer outlines several conditions that must be met for a war to be considered just:

- **Just Cause:** There must be a morally legitimate reason for war, such as self-defense against an aggressor or the protection of innocent lives.

- **Competent Authority:** War must be declared by a legitimate authority, typically the state or governing body with the authority to do so.
- **Right Intention:** The primary goal must be to achieve a just outcome, avoiding war for ulterior motives like conquest or revenge.
- **Probability of Success:** There should be a reasonable chance of achieving the just cause to justify the costs and destruction involved.
- **Last Resort:** All non-violent options must be exhausted before resorting to war.
- **Proportionality:** The anticipated benefits of war must outweigh the expected harms and destruction.

Jus in Bello: Ethical Conduct During War

Once a war is underway, Walzer emphasizes the importance of adhering to moral constraints:

- **Discrimination:** Combatants must distinguish between legitimate military targets and non-combatants; civilians should be protected from harm.
- **Proportionality:** The violence used must be proportionate to the military advantage gained, avoiding excessive harm.
- **Military Necessity:** Actions should be intended to achieve legitimate military objectives and not cause unnecessary suffering.

Walzer argues that violations of these principles—such as targeting civilians or using disproportionate force—render a war morally unjustifiable, regardless of its initial justification.

Key Themes in Walzer's Analysis

The Moral Equality of Soldiers

One notable aspect of Walzer's work is his treatment of soldiers as moral agents who are not inherently evil. He contends that soldiers often act under orders or within the context of their duty, and their moral culpability depends on the legality and morality of their actions. This perspective fosters a nuanced view that recognizes the moral complexity faced by combatants.

The Problem of Aggression

Walzer emphasizes that aggression—an unprovoked act of war—is inherently unjust. Just war theory, according to him, seeks to prevent wars driven by greed, revenge, or territorial conquest. The emphasis on just cause aims to curb aggressive warfare and promote peaceful conflict resolution whenever possible.

The Role of International Law

While Walzer acknowledges the importance of international norms and laws—such as the Geneva Conventions—he argues that moral principles should guide war ethics beyond legal compliance. Morality, in his view, should serve as the foundation for legal standards, not merely follow them.

Critiques and Debates Surrounding Walzer's Theory

Realism vs. Moral Idealism

Some critics argue that Walzer's moral absolutism may be overly idealistic, especially in the context of modern warfare, where states and actors often violate principles of *jus in bello*. Critics suggest that in practice, moral constraints are frequently disregarded, raising questions about the feasibility of his standards.

The Challenge of Non-State Actors

Walzer's framework primarily addresses state actors and traditional warfare. However, contemporary conflicts involving non-state actors, insurgencies, and asymmetric warfare complicate the application of his principles. Critics point out that distinguishing combatants from civilians becomes increasingly difficult, challenging the implementation of discrimination and proportionality.

Ethical Dilemmas in Modern Warfare

Advances in technology, such as drone warfare, cyberwarfare, and autonomous weapons, present new ethical dilemmas that Walzer's original framework may not fully address. Debates continue about how to adapt just war principles to these emerging modes of conflict.

Impact and Legacy of Walzer's Just and Unjust Wars

Michael Walzer's *Just and Unjust Wars* remains a cornerstone in the study of military ethics and political philosophy. Its influence extends beyond academia, impacting policy debates, international law, and military conduct standards.

Educational and Policy Influence

The book is widely studied in university courses on ethics, international relations, and military studies. Policymakers and military leaders often reference Walzer's principles when designing rules of engagement and war policies.

Contemporary Relevance

In an era of complex global conflicts, terrorism, and technological advancements, Walzer's emphasis on moral constraints continues to resonate. His insistence on moral responsibility in warfare prompts ongoing discussions about how to conduct war ethically in the modern age.

Conclusion

Michael Walzer's *Just and Unjust Wars* provides a rigorous, morally grounded framework for understanding the ethics of warfare. By carefully delineating the conditions for justifiable war and the ethical conduct within war, Walzer advocates for a moral approach that seeks to limit the brutalities of conflict and uphold human dignity. While challenges remain—particularly in applying these principles to contemporary conflicts—his work continues to serve as a vital reference point in debates about the morality of war. As conflicts evolve and new technologies emerge, Walzer's insights remind us of the enduring importance of moral responsibility in the conduct of war and the pursuit of peace.

Frequently Asked Questions

What are the main principles of Michael Walzer's theory in 'Just and Unjust Wars'?

Walzer emphasizes the importance of justice in both the conduct of war (*jus in bello*) and its causes (*jus ad bellum*). He advocates for principles like legitimate authority, just cause, proportionality, and discrimination to ensure war is morally permissible.

How does Walzer define a 'just war' in his book?

A 'just war' according to Walzer is one fought for a just cause, declared by a legitimate authority, with the aim of restoring justice or defending rights, and conducted in a manner that discriminates between combatants and non-combatants.

What is Walzer's view on the use of violence against civilians?

Walzer strongly advocates for discrimination, meaning violence should be directed only at combatants. Attacks on civilians are unjust and violate the moral limits of warfare, emphasizing the importance of non-combatant immunity.

How does Walzer differentiate between 'just' and 'unjust' wars?

Walzer distinguishes between wars that meet criteria such as just cause, proper authority, and proportionality (just wars), and those that lack these elements, such as wars for conquest or retaliation without moral justification (unjust wars).

What role does the concept of 'legitimate authority' play in Walzer's framework?

In Walzer's view, only duly constituted political authorities have the moral right to declare war. Unauthorized or rebel groups do not possess legitimate authority, making their acts of war unjustifiable.

Does Walzer discuss the concept of proportionality in warfare?

Yes, Walzer emphasizes proportionality as a key principle, asserting that the violence used in war must be proportional to the aims sought, and excessive harm to civilians or infrastructure is morally unjustifiable.

What is Walzer's stance on humanitarian interventions and their moral justification?

Walzer generally supports humanitarian interventions if they are conducted for just causes, with proper authority, and adhere to principles that minimize harm to civilians, viewing them as potentially just wars.

How does Walzer address the issue of collateral damage in warfare?

Walzer acknowledges collateral damage is sometimes unavoidable but emphasizes that it must be proportionate and incidental, not intended, and that efforts should be made to minimize harm to civilians.

In what ways has Walzer's 'Just and Unjust Wars' influenced modern just war theory?

Walzer's work has significantly shaped modern debates on the morality of war by providing a detailed account of moral principles, emphasizing justice and discrimination, and influencing both academic thought and policy discussions on warfare ethics.

Are there any criticisms of Walzer's approach in 'Just and Unjust Wars'?

Yes, critics argue that Walzer's criteria can be too idealistic or difficult to apply consistently in complex real-world conflicts, and some believe his reliance on moral principles may overlook political and practical realities of warfare.

Additional Resources

Michael Walzer's *Just and Unjust Wars* is a seminal work in the field of ethics and political philosophy, particularly within the context of just war theory. Since its publication in 1977, the book has played a pivotal role in shaping contemporary debates about the morality of warfare, laying out nuanced criteria for when and how wars can be justified, and addressing the moral dilemmas faced by combatants and civilians alike. Walzer's rigorous analysis combines philosophical rigor with real-world considerations, making his work both intellectually compelling and practically relevant. This review aims to explore the core themes of *Just and Unjust Wars*, assess its strengths and weaknesses, and understand its significance in ongoing discussions about morality and conflict.

Overview of Just and Unjust Wars

Michael Walzer's *Just and Unjust Wars* is structured around the classical principles of just war theory, which date back to Augustine and Aquinas, but with modern adaptations reflecting the realities of 20th-century warfare. The book's core purpose is to delineate when war is morally permissible (*jus ad bellum*) and how wars should be conducted ethically (*jus in bello*). Walzer's approach is both philosophical and pragmatic, emphasizing moral responsibility, the importance of justice in the use of force, and the complex realities faced by military and civilian populations.

The central contribution of Walzer's work is his detailed analysis of the conditions under which war can be justified and how it should be fought. His arguments challenge simplistic notions of morality, insisting instead on a nuanced understanding that considers context, intent, and the moral constraints imposed by the realities of war.

Core Concepts and Principles

Jus ad Bellum: The Justification for War

Walzer emphasizes that war must meet specific moral criteria to be justified. These include:

- Just Cause: War is permissible only to confront a real and serious injustice, such as self-defense against an aggressor, protection of innocent lives, or rectification of a grave wrong.
- Right Authority: Only duly constituted authorities, such as governments or international bodies, can declare war.
- Just Intent: The primary motive must be to achieve justice, not for conquest, revenge, or economic gain.
- Probability of Success: War should only be undertaken if there is a reasonable chance of success, to prevent unnecessary suffering.
- Last Resort: All peaceful alternatives must be exhausted before resorting to war.
- Proportionality: The anticipated benefits of war must outweigh the expected harms.

Pros:

- Provides a moral framework that helps prevent reckless or unjustified wars.
- Emphasizes moral responsibility and accountability for decision-makers.

Cons:

- Determining “just cause” can be subjective and politically manipulated.
- The criteria can be difficult to apply in complex real-world scenarios, such as humanitarian interventions.

Jus in Bello: Conduct During War

In addition to justifying the initiation of war, Walzer discusses how wars should be fought ethically:

- Discrimination: Combatants must distinguish between military targets and civilians, aiming to minimize civilian casualties.
- Proportionality: The violence used must be proportional to the military objective.
- Fair Treatment: Prisoners of war should be treated humanely, and non-combatants should be protected.
- No Unnecessary Suffering: Weapons and tactics that cause superfluous suffering are prohibited.

Pros:

- Reinforces the importance of moral discipline in warfare.
- Serves as a guide for ethical military conduct.

Cons:

- In practice, discrimination and proportionality are difficult to uphold, especially in asymmetric warfare.
- Modern technology (e.g., drones, cyber warfare) complicates adherence to these principles.

Critical Analysis of Walzer's Arguments

Strengths of Walzer's Just and Unjust Wars

- Philosophical Rigor: Walzer's systematic approach provides clarity and depth, encouraging nuanced debate rather than black-and-white judgments.
- Realism: The book acknowledges the complexities and moral ambiguities inherent in warfare, moving beyond idealized notions.
- Historical Context: Incorporation of contemporary conflicts, such as Vietnam and Middle Eastern wars, illustrates the application of principles.
- Moral Responsibility: Emphasizes the ethical duties of soldiers and commanders, fostering a sense of moral accountability.

Weaknesses and Criticisms

- Subjectivity and Ambiguity: Some criteria, such as "just cause" and "proportionality," are open to interpretation and political manipulation.
- Limitations in Application: In asymmetric warfare or guerilla conflicts, distinctions between combatants and civilians blur, challenging Walzer's principles.
- International Enforcement: The theory assumes a moral authority capable of policing war, but in practice, enforcement mechanisms are weak or politicized.
- Potential for Justification of Horrific Acts: Critics argue that the framework can be exploited to justify questionable military actions if criteria are narrowly defined or manipulated.

Impact and Legacy

Walzer's *Just and Unjust Wars* has profoundly influenced both academic discourse and practical policy. It is frequently cited in international law, military ethics, and political debates. The book's nuanced approach encourages policymakers, military leaders, and citizens to consider the moral dimensions of conflict, fostering accountability and restraint.

Notably, Walzer's emphasis on moral responsibility extends beyond state actors to individual soldiers, highlighting the importance of personal ethics even in chaotic battlefield environments. His work has also influenced debates on humanitarian intervention, nuclear deterrence, and asymmetric warfare, prompting questions about when intervention is justified and how civilians can be protected.

Contemporary Relevance and Challenges

In the context of 21st-century warfare, Walzer's principles face new challenges:

- Asymmetric Warfare: Non-state actors and insurgencies complicate the discrimination principle, as combatants often blend into civilian populations.
- Technology: Cyber warfare, drones, and autonomous weapons raise questions about accountability, discrimination, and proportionality.
- Humanitarian Interventions: The debate over sovereignty versus moral duty to intervene in cases of genocide or mass atrocities continues to test Walzer's criteria.
- Global Governance: The effectiveness of international bodies like the UN in enforcing just war principles remains limited, raising questions about the feasibility of global moral standards.

Despite these challenges, Walzer's framework remains a vital tool for analyzing the morality of warfare, emphasizing that ethics must remain central to discussions about conflict.

Conclusion

Michael Walzer's *Just and Unjust Wars* is a foundational text that offers a comprehensive and morally grounded approach to understanding warfare. Its emphasis on moral responsibility, ethical conduct, and the importance of justice provides a valuable framework for evaluating conflicts in a complex world. While its principles are not without challenges—particularly in application and enforcement—the book continues to serve as a crucial reference point for scholars, policymakers, and military practitioners committed to ensuring that the horrors of war are mitigated by ethical considerations. In an era marked by evolving warfare technologies and geopolitical uncertainties, Walzer's enduring contribution underscores the importance of moral reflection in the pursuit of justice and peace.

[Michael Walzer Just And Unjust Wars](#)

michael walzer just and unjust wars: Just and Unjust Wars Michael Walzer, 2015-08-11 "A classic in the field" (New York Times), this is a penetrating investigation into moral and ethical questions raised by war, drawing on examples from antiquity to the present. *Just and Unjust Wars* has forever changed how we think about the ethics of conflict. In this modern classic, political philosopher Michael Walzer examines the moral issues that arise before, during, and after the wars we fight. Reaching from the Athenian attack on Melos, to the Mai Lai massacre, to the war in Afghanistan and beyond, Walzer mines historical and contemporary accounts and the testimony of participants, decision makers, and victims to explain when war is justified and what ethical limitations apply to those who wage it.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: [Just And Unjust Wars](#) Michael Walzer, 1992 Updated in

light of recent events, this classic work--with more than 60,000 copies sold in previous editions--presents a clear, humane, and startlingly original survey of the moral issues that complicate modern warmaking.--The Atlantic.New York Times Book Review.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: Just And Unjust Wars Michael Walzer, 1977-12-19 This classic work examines the issues surrounding military theory, war crimes, and the spoils of war from the Athenian attack on Melos to the My Lai massacre.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: Walzer and War Graham Parsons, Mark A. Wilson, 2021-05-02 This book presents ten original essays that reassess the meaning, relevance, and legacy of Michael Walzer's classic, *Just and Unjust Wars*. Written by leading figures in philosophy, theology, international politics and the military, the essays examine topics such as territorial rights, lessons from America's wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, the practice of humanitarian intervention in light of experience, Walzer's notorious discussion of supreme emergencies, revisionist criticisms of noncombatant immunity, gender and the rights of combatants, the peacebuilding critique of just war theory, and the responsibility of soldiers for unjust wars. Collectively, these essays advance the debate in this important field and demonstrate the continued relevance of Walzer's work.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: Intervention, Terrorism, and Torture Steven P. Lee, 2006-11-22 Just war theory is the traditional approach taken to questions of the morality of war, but war today is far from traditional. War has been deeply affected in recent years by a variety of social and technological developments in areas such as international terrorism, campaigns of genocide and ethnic cleansing, the global human rights movement, economic globalization, and military technology. This book asks whether just war theory is adequate to the challenges these developments pose. Just war theory provides rules for determining when it is justified to fight a war. But some have argued that the nature of contemporary war makes these rules obsolete. For example, genocidal and aggressive regimes may require the use of military force that is not strictly in self-defense, as just war theory requires. In addition, the theory provides rules for determining what the limits are on justified conduct in war. But the random violence of terrorism and the deliberately inflicted violence of torture seem endemic to our age, yet take us beyond the limits set by these rules of conduct in war. By carefully examining the phenomena of intervention, terrorism, and torture from a number of different perspectives, the essays in this book explore this set of issues with insight and clarity.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: Prefaces and Postscripts David Luban, 2018 This paper was written as a keynote address for a conference on Michael Walzer's *Just and Unjust War* on the 40th anniversary of its publication. It discusses the significance of the book, and examines the updating prefaces Walzer wrote to the five editions of the book and his methodological postscript to the fifth edition. The paper contrasts Walzer's philosophical method with that of analytic just war theory, arguing that Walzer's use of historical cases and the analytic use of imaginary "toy" cases serve different philosophical ends. Noting that *Just and Unjust Wars* appeared the same year as the Additional Protocols to the Geneva Conventions, I examine the parallels between Walzer's views and those in AP I, especially between Walzer's reformulation of the doctrine of double effect and AP I's requirement that militaries take all feasible steps to insure that attacks do not inflict excessive unintended harm on civilians. Next I examine the role that human rights plays in the course of Walzer's argument, including the awkward fit between individual human rights and his theory of *jus ad bellum*. The final sections compare the legalism of analytic philosophy's analysis of rights-forfeiture with Walzer's humanism, and warn that contemporary populism places that humanism in peril.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, 1978-10 The Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists is the premier public resource on scientific and technological developments that impact global security. Founded by Manhattan Project Scientists, the Bulletin's iconic Doomsday Clock stimulates solutions for a safer world.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: Arguing About War Michael Walzer, 2008-10-01 Michael Walzer is one of the world's most eminent philosophers on the subject of war and ethics.

Now, for the first time since his classic *Just and Unjust Wars* was published almost three decades ago, this volume brings together his most provocative arguments about contemporary military conflicts and the ethical issues they raise. The essays in the book are divided into three sections. The first deals with issues such as humanitarian intervention, emergency ethics, and terrorism. The second consists of Walzer's responses to particular wars, including the first Gulf War, Kosovo, Afghanistan, and Iraq. And the third presents an essay in which Walzer imagines a future in which war might play a less significant part in our lives. In his introduction, Walzer reveals how his thinking has changed over time. Written during a period of intense debate over the proper use of armed force, this book gets to the heart of difficult problems and argues persuasively for a moral perspective on war.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: Moral Constraints on War Bruno Coppieters, N. Fotion, 2002-01-01 *Moral Constraints on War* offers a principle-by-principle presentation of the transcultural roots of the ethics of war in an age defined by the increasingly international nature of military intervention. Parts one and two trace the evolution of Just War theory, analyzing the principles of *jus ad bellum* and *jus in bello*: the principles that determine under what conditions a war may be started and then conducted. Each chapter provides the historical background of the principle under discussion, an explanation of the principle, and numerous historical examples of its application. In Part three, case studies apply the theories discussed to the Gulf War, the 1994 Russian intervention in Chechnya, NATO's humanitarian mission in Kosovo, and the U.S. military's actions in Afghanistan in the wake of the World Trade Center attacks. Bringing together an international coterie of philosophers and political scientists this accessible and practical guide offers students of military ethics, international law, and social conflict rich, up-to-the-minute insight into the pluralistic character of Just War Theory.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: Michael Walzer's Just War Theory Elena Mertel, 2019-09-30 Essay from the year 2018 in the subject Sociology - War and Peace, Military, grade: 8,0, University of Groningen, course: Theories of International Relations, language: English, abstract: Michael Walzer's *Just and Unjust War* from 1977 is considered a major work in traditional Just War Theory. Many of his assumptions are still the basis for modern warfare considerations. However, the author suggest that Walzer's concept of *jus in bello* (the conduct of war) needs further revision, especially to provide an appropriate notion of combatants. In this respect, the theoretical conception of soldiers will be examined on three different levels of analysis. These include the combatants' contradictory responsibilities in *jus ad bellum* (the justification for war), *jus in bello* and the debate on the value of a soldier's life. Firstly, the contradictory role of combatants' responsibilities in *jus ad bellum* and *jus in bello* by referring to Graham Parsons' criticism on the dualism of Just War Theory will be outlined. Then, the author elaborates on Walzer's claim that all soldiers are morally equal. The following critical analysis of the value of combatants' lives as individual human beings will further demonstrate the need for a revised perception of combatants in modern warfare and point out why Walzer's assumptions are insufficient. While the role of civilians and their need for protection has developed over time and even restrictions for cruel methods of killing were introduced, the status of soldiers has basically remained the same. In this work the author argues that striving toward more just warfare also requires reconsidering the highly inhumane status of soldiers in traditional approaches.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: The Renegotiation of the Just War Tradition and the Right to War in the Twenty-First Century C. O'Driscoll, 2008-04-14 This book examines the manner by which the just war tradition has been invoked, engaged and developed in the context of the war on terror, paying particular attention to the questions of anticipatory war, humanitarian intervention, and punitive war.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: The Just War Myth Andrew Fiala, 2007-12-07 As the war in Iraq continues and Americans debate the consequences of the war in Afghanistan, the war on terror, and the possibility of war with North Korea and Iran, war is one of the biggest issues in public debate. Andrew Fiala in *The Just War Myth* challenges the apparently predominant American

sentiment that war can be easily justified. Even most Democrats seem to hold that opinion, despite the horrific costs of war both on the people being attacked or caught up in the chaos and on the Americans involved in carrying out the war. The Just War Myth argues that while the just war theory is a good theory, actual wars do not live up to its standards. The book provides a genealogy of the just war idea and also turns a critical eye on current events, including the idea of preemptive war, the use of torture, and the unreality of the Bush Doctrine. Fiala warns that pacifism, too, can become mythological, advocating skepticism about attempts to justify war.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: *The Present "Just Peace/Just War" Debate* Ad de Bruijne, Gerard den Hertog, 2018-09-01 At first the end of the Cold War seemed to mark a period of relative rest. However, it became apparent that we have not reached the end of history. As a matter of fact, the world is confronted by new political constellations of so far unknown martial intensity. Although, Muslim terrorism and the revival of tribalism and nationalism are closely connected. At the same time, the international community proves mostly powerless, as a result of the cooling relationship between East and West. These developments offer challenging questions for Western societies. Both in Germany and in the Anglo-Saxon world, debates on the concepts of Just peace/ Just war have intensified, but mutual engagement between these contexts has remained scarce. Against this background a conference was held in Apeldoorn, in a Dutch interspace, in 2016, in which ethicists from both contexts were involved. The present volume contains the edited version of the seven contributions to this conference, supplemented with four articles by others that were written deliberately for this volume. [Die Debatte Gerechter Frieden/Gerechter Krieg. Zwei Diskussionen oder eine?] Nach dem Ende des Kalten Krieges hatte es den Anschein, als breche eine Friedensperiode an. Leider hat sich inzwischen gezeigt, dass wir nicht ans Ende der Geschichte gelangt sind. Vielmehr sieht sich die Welt am Beginn des 21. Jahrhunderts mit neuen politischen Konstellationen ungekannter kriegereischer Intensität konfrontiert. Dabei hängen islamistischer Terror und das Wiederaufleben von Tribalismus und Nationalismus zusammen. Hinzu kommt, dass das Ost-West-Verhältnis sich zunehmend verschlechtert, wodurch die Machtinstrumente der internationalen Gemeinschaft kraftlos geworden sind. Diese Entwicklungen stellen herausfordernde Fragen an die westlichen Gesellschaften. Sowohl in Deutschland als auch in der angelsächsischen Welt ist die Debatte über die Themen Gerechter Frieden/Gerechter Krieg neu aufgebrochen, aber sie findet weitgehend getrennt voneinander statt. Vor diesem Hintergrund hat 2016 in Apeldoorn, im niederländischen Zwischenraum, eine Konferenz stattgefunden, an der Ethiker aus beiden Kontexten beteiligt waren. Dieser Band dokumentiert deren sieben Vorträge, ergänzt um vier Aufsätze, die speziell für diesen Band geschrieben wurden. Mit Beiträgen von Ted van Baarda, Nigel Biggar, Jan Peter van Bruggen, Ad de Bruijne, Guido de Graaff, Gerard den Hertog, Marco Hofheinz, Wolfgang Lienemann, Hans Ulrich, Pieter Vos, Greetje Witte-Rang.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: *The Individualization of War* Jennifer Welsh, Dapo Akande, David Rodin, 2024-03-07 The Individualization of War examines the status of individuals in contemporary armed conflict in three main capacities: as subject to violence but deserving of protection; as liable to harm because of their responsibility for attacks on others; and as agents who can be held accountable for the perpetration of crimes.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: *Ethics & International Affairs* Joel H. Rosenthal, Christian Barry, 2009-02-06 The third edition of Ethics & International Affairs provides a fresh selection of classroom resources, ideal for courses in international relations, ethics, foreign policy, and related fields. Published with the Carnegie Council for Ethics in International Affairs, this collection contains some of the best contemporary scholarship on international ethics, written by a group of distinguished political scientists, political theorists, philosophers, applied ethicists, and economic development specialists. Each contributor explores how moral theory can inform policy choices regarding topics such as war and intervention, international organizations, human rights, and global economic justice. This book provides an entry point into these key debates and offers a platform for further discussion. Published in cooperation with the Carnegie Council for Ethics in International Affairs

michael walzer just and unjust wars: Just and unjust wars Michael Walzer, 2000

michael walzer just and unjust wars: International Intervention in the Post-Cold War World

Michael C. Davis, Wolfgang Dietrich, Bettina Scholdan, 2017-07-28 International intervention on humanitarian grounds has been a contentious issue for decades. First, it pits the principle of state sovereignty against claims of universal human rights. Second, the motivations of intervening states may be open to question when avowals of moral action are arguably the fig leaf covering an assertion of power for political advantage. These questions have been salient in the context of the Balkan and African wars and U.S. policy in the Middle East. This volume undertakes a serious, systematic, and broadly international review of the issues.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: *The Essence of Islamist Extremism* Irm Haleem, 2011-11-23 This book provides a critical and a conceptual analysis of radical Islamist rhetoric drawn from temporally and contextually varied Islamist extremist groups, challenging the popular understanding of Islamist extremism as a product of a 'clash-of-civilizations'. Arguing that the essence of Islamist extremism can only be accurately understood by drawing a distinction between the radical Islamist explanations and justifications of violence, the author posits that despite the radical Islamist contextualization of violence within Islamic religious tenets, there is nothing conceptually or distinctly Islamic about Islamist extremism. She engages in a critical analysis of the nature of reason in radical Islamist rhetoric, asserting that the radical Islamist explanations of violence are conceptually reasoned in terms of existential Hegelian struggles for recognition (as fundamentally struggles against oppression), and the radical Islamist justifications of violence are conceptually reasoned in terms of moral consequentialism. With a detailed analysis of Islamist extremist discourse spanning a wide range of contexts, this book has a broad relevance for scholars and students working in the field of Islamic studies, religious violence, philosophy and political theory.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: *Force, Religion, and the Quest for African American Justice* Daniel A. Morris, 2025-06-26 This study argues that Black calls for force in the struggle against white supremacy have echoed concepts and principles in the Western just war tradition. After establishing that just war theory has ignored Black calls for force and that the Trans-Atlantic Slave Trade relied on just war ethics for its justification, this book turns to African American resistance rhetoric in three crucial periods of U.S. history. In the antebellum period, the Civil Rights movement, and the era of mass incarceration, African American thinkers have drawn on ideas and forms of logic that run parallel to just war ethics. This makes Black rhetoric of forceful resistance morally normal, rather than radical or extremist. Figures in the Black just war tradition such as David Walker, Henry Highland Garnet, Harriet Tubman, Huey Newton, Bree Newsome, and others should be included in the discipline of just war ethics, and white American Christians should recognize that their endorsements of force are consistent with widely accepted moral positions.

michael walzer just and unjust wars: *The Moral Leader* Sandra J. Sucher, 2007-07-16 Successful leaders at any level and in any arena are inevitably presented with moral and ethical choices. This unique and innovative textbook is designed to encourage students and managers to confront those fundamental moral challenges, to develop skills in moral analysis and judgment, and to come to terms with their own definition of moral leadership.

Related to michael walzer just and unjust wars

The Archangel Michael—Who Is He? - Who Is the Archangel Michael? The Bible's answer Michael, referred to by some religions as "Saint Michael," is evidently a name given to Jesus before and after his life on earth. a Michael

Who Is Michael the Archangel? Is Jesus? | Bible Teach - The archangel Michael battles wicked angels and wages war with the Devil. Is Jesus himself the archangel Michael? The Bible reveals the answer

Wie is de aartsengel Michaël? - Michaël, door sommigen 'Sint-Michaël' genoemd, is de naam die aan Jezus werd gegeven voor en na zijn leven op aarde. Waarom kunnen we die conclusie trekken?

Wie is de aartsengel Michaël? | Wat de bijbel leert - Als de aartsengel Michaël in de bijbel wordt genoemd, is hij ergens actief bij betrokken. Hij strijdt tegen slechte engelen en tegen de Duivel. Wie is hij?

Der Erzengel Michael - Wer ist das? - Der Name Michael – in manchen Religionen auch „Heiliger Michael“ genannt – bezeichnet Jesus, und zwar bevor er auf der Erde lebte und danach. Gibt es dafür Beweise?

Wer ist der Erzengel Michael? | Was die Bibel lehrt - Wenn der Erzengel Michael in der Bibel erwähnt wird, ist er aktiv. Er kämpft gegen den Teufel und die Dämonen. Wer ist der Erzengel Michael?

Is Jesus the Archangel Michael? - So Jesus Christ himself is here identified as the archangel, or chief angel. In view of the foregoing, what can we conclude? Jesus Christ is Michael the archangel. Both names

Jehovas Zeugen - Offizielle Website: | Deutsch Jehovas Zeugen: Unsere offizielle Website. Hier finden Sie Online-Bibeln, biblischen Lesestoff und aktuelle Meldungen. Erfahren Sie, wer wir sind und was wir glauben

What Is the Governing Body of Jehovah's Witnesses? The Governing Body is a small group of mature Christians who provide direction for Jehovah's Witnesses. List of members and helpers to each GB committee

Lo nuevo | | Sitio oficial de los testigos de Jehová Nuevos artículos en jw.org, entre ellos videos, música, programas grabados, herramientas para el estudio de la Biblia y noticias sobre los testigos de Jehová

The Archangel Michael—Who Is He? - Who Is the Archangel Michael? The Bible's answer Michael, referred to by some religions as "Saint Michael," is evidently a name given to Jesus before and after his life on earth. a Michael

Who Is Michael the Archangel? Is Jesus? | Bible Teach - The archangel Michael battles wicked angels and wages war with the Devil. Is Jesus himself the archangel Michael? The Bible reveals the answer

Wie is de aartsengel Michaël? - Michaël, door sommigen 'Sint-Michaël' genoemd, is de naam die aan Jezus werd gegeven voor en na zijn leven op aarde. Waarom kunnen we die conclusie trekken?

Wie is de aartsengel Michaël? | Wat de bijbel leert - Als de aartsengel Michaël in de bijbel wordt genoemd, is hij ergens actief bij betrokken. Hij strijdt tegen slechte engelen en tegen de Duivel. Wie is hij?

Der Erzengel Michael - Wer ist das? - Der Name Michael – in manchen Religionen auch „Heiliger Michael“ genannt – bezeichnet Jesus, und zwar bevor er auf der Erde lebte und danach. Gibt es dafür Beweise?

Wer ist der Erzengel Michael? | Was die Bibel lehrt - Wenn der Erzengel Michael in der Bibel erwähnt wird, ist er aktiv. Er kämpft gegen den Teufel und die Dämonen. Wer ist der Erzengel Michael?

Is Jesus the Archangel Michael? - So Jesus Christ himself is here identified as the archangel, or chief angel. In view of the foregoing, what can we conclude? Jesus Christ is Michael the archangel. Both names

Jehovas Zeugen - Offizielle Website: | Deutsch Jehovas Zeugen: Unsere offizielle Website. Hier finden Sie Online-Bibeln, biblischen Lesestoff und aktuelle Meldungen. Erfahren Sie, wer wir sind und was wir glauben

What Is the Governing Body of Jehovah's Witnesses? The Governing Body is a small group of mature Christians who provide direction for Jehovah's Witnesses. List of members and helpers to each GB committee

Lo nuevo | | Sitio oficial de los testigos de Jehová Nuevos artículos en jw.org, entre ellos videos, música, programas grabados, herramientas para el estudio de la Biblia y noticias sobre los testigos de Jehová

The Archangel Michael—Who Is He? - Who Is the Archangel Michael? The Bible's answer

Michael, referred to by some religions as “Saint Michael,” is evidently a name given to Jesus before and after his life on earth. a Michael

Who Is Michael the Archangel? Is Jesus? | Bible Teach - The archangel Michael battles wicked angels and wages war with the Devil. Is Jesus himself the archangel Michael? The Bible reveals the answer

Wie is de aartsengel Michaël? - Michaël, door sommigen ‘Sint-Michaël’ genoemd, is de naam die aan Jezus werd gegeven voor en na zijn leven op aarde. Waarom kunnen we die conclusie trekken?

Wie is de aartsengel Michaël? | Wat de bijbel leert - Als de aartsengel Michaël in de bijbel wordt genoemd, is hij ergens actief bij betrokken. Hij strijdt tegen slechte engelen en tegen de Duivel. Wie is hij?

Der Erzengel Michael - Wer ist das? - Der Name Michael – in manchen Religionen auch „Heiliger Michael“ genannt – bezeichnet Jesus, und zwar bevor er auf der Erde lebte und danach. Gibt es dafür Beweise?

Wer ist der Erzengel Michael? | Was die Bibel lehrt - Wenn der Erzengel Michael in der Bibel erwähnt wird, ist er aktiv. Er kämpft gegen den Teufel und die Dämonen. Wer ist der Erzengel Michael?

Is Jesus the Archangel Michael? - So Jesus Christ himself is here identified as the archangel, or chief angel. In view of the foregoing, what can we conclude? Jesus Christ is Michael the archangel. Both names

Jehovas Zeugen - Offizielle Website: | Deutsch Jehovas Zeugen: Unsere offizielle Website. Hier finden Sie Online-Bibeln, biblischen Lesestoff und aktuelle Meldungen. Erfahren Sie, wer wir sind und was wir glauben

What Is the Governing Body of Jehovah’s Witnesses? The Governing Body is a small group of mature Christians who provide direction for Jehovah’s Witnesses. List of members and helpers to each GB committee

Lo nuevo | | Sitio oficial de los testigos de Jehová Nuevos artículos en jw.org, entre ellos videos, música, programas grabados, herramientas para el estudio de la Biblia y noticias sobre los testigos de Jehová

The Archangel Michael—Who Is He? - Who Is the Archangel Michael? The Bible’s answer Michael, referred to by some religions as “Saint Michael,” is evidently a name given to Jesus before and after his life on earth. a Michael

Who Is Michael the Archangel? Is Jesus? | Bible Teach - The archangel Michael battles wicked angels and wages war with the Devil. Is Jesus himself the archangel Michael? The Bible reveals the answer

Wie is de aartsengel Michaël? - Michaël, door sommigen ‘Sint-Michaël’ genoemd, is de naam die aan Jezus werd gegeven voor en na zijn leven op aarde. Waarom kunnen we die conclusie trekken?

Wie is de aartsengel Michaël? | Wat de bijbel leert - Als de aartsengel Michaël in de bijbel wordt genoemd, is hij ergens actief bij betrokken. Hij strijdt tegen slechte engelen en tegen de Duivel. Wie is hij?

Der Erzengel Michael - Wer ist das? - Der Name Michael – in manchen Religionen auch „Heiliger Michael“ genannt – bezeichnet Jesus, und zwar bevor er auf der Erde lebte und danach. Gibt es dafür Beweise?

Wer ist der Erzengel Michael? | Was die Bibel lehrt - Wenn der Erzengel Michael in der Bibel erwähnt wird, ist er aktiv. Er kämpft gegen den Teufel und die Dämonen. Wer ist der Erzengel Michael?

Is Jesus the Archangel Michael? - So Jesus Christ himself is here identified as the archangel, or chief angel. In view of the foregoing, what can we conclude? Jesus Christ is Michael the archangel. Both names

Jehovas Zeugen - Offizielle Website: | Deutsch Jehovas Zeugen: Unsere offizielle Website. Hier finden Sie Online-Bibeln, biblischen Lesestoff und aktuelle Meldungen. Erfahren Sie, wer wir sind und was wir glauben

What Is the Governing Body of Jehovah's Witnesses? The Governing Body is a small group of mature Christians who provide direction for Jehovah's Witnesses. List of members and helpers to each GB committee

Lo nuevo | | Sitio oficial de los testigos de Jehová Nuevos artículos en jw.org, entre ellos videos, música, programas grabados, herramientas para el estudio de la Biblia y noticias sobre los testigos de Jehová

The Archangel Michael—Who Is He? - Who Is the Archangel Michael? The Bible's answer Michael, referred to by some religions as "Saint Michael," is evidently a name given to Jesus before and after his life on earth. a Michael

Who Is Michael the Archangel? Is Jesus? | Bible Teach - The archangel Michael battles wicked angels and wages war with the Devil. Is Jesus himself the archangel Michael? The Bible reveals the answer

Wie is de aartsengel Michaël? - Michaël, door sommigen 'Sint-Michaël' genoemd, is de naam die aan Jezus werd gegeven voor en na zijn leven op aarde. Waarom kunnen we die conclusie trekken?

Wie is de aartsengel Michaël? | Wat de bijbel leert - Als de aartsengel Michaël in de bijbel wordt genoemd, is hij ergens actief bij betrokken. Hij strijdt tegen slechte engelen en tegen de Duivel. Wie is hij?

Der Erzengel Michael - Wer ist das? - Der Name Michael - in manchen Religionen auch „Heiliger Michael“ genannt - bezeichnet Jesus, und zwar bevor er auf der Erde lebte und danach. Gibt es dafür Beweise?

Wer ist der Erzengel Michael? | Was die Bibel lehrt - Wenn der Erzengel Michael in der Bibel erwähnt wird, ist er aktiv. Er kämpft gegen den Teufel und die Dämonen. Wer ist der Erzengel Michael?

Is Jesus the Archangel Michael? - So Jesus Christ himself is here identified as the archangel, or chief angel. In view of the foregoing, what can we conclude? Jesus Christ is Michael the archangel. Both names

Jehovas Zeugen - Offizielle Website: | Deutsch Jehovas Zeugen: Unsere offizielle Website. Hier finden Sie Online-Bibeln, biblischen Lesestoff und aktuelle Meldungen. Erfahren Sie, wer wir sind und was wir glauben

What Is the Governing Body of Jehovah's Witnesses? The Governing Body is a small group of mature Christians who provide direction for Jehovah's Witnesses. List of members and helpers to each GB committee

Lo nuevo | | Sitio oficial de los testigos de Jehová Nuevos artículos en jw.org, entre ellos videos, música, programas grabados, herramientas para el estudio de la Biblia y noticias sobre los testigos de Jehová

Related Articles

- [christian vs muslim debate](#)
- [horoscopes by jonathan cainer](#)
- [where the rainbow ends](#)

[Back to Home](#)