

adam air flight 574 crash

adam air flight 574 crash remains one of the most tragic and significant aviation accidents in Indonesia's history. The crash, which occurred on September 1, 2007, resulted in the loss of all 102 people on board and left a lasting impact on aviation safety protocols, airline regulations, and the families of victims. This incident drew widespread media attention and prompted investigations into the causes behind the accident, as well as discussions about pilot training, aircraft maintenance, and air traffic control procedures. In this comprehensive article, we explore the details surrounding the Adam Air Flight 574 crash, its causes, the aftermath, and the lessons learned to improve airline safety standards.

Overview of Adam Air Flight 574

Flight Details and Background

Adam Air Flight 574 was a scheduled domestic passenger flight operated by Adam Air, a low-cost airline based in Indonesia. The flight was traveling from Jakarta, the capital city, to Manado in North Sulawesi. The aircraft involved was a Boeing 737-400, a widely used commercial jetliner capable of carrying approximately 180 passengers.

On the day of the accident, the flight was routine, with a scheduled departure time of 14:33 local time. However, it ended in tragedy when the aircraft disappeared from radar screens and was later found to have crashed into the Makassar Strait.

Sequence of Events Leading to the Crash

According to investigations, the aircraft lost contact with air traffic control about 40 minutes after takeoff. It was initially believed to be en route normally, but subsequent analysis revealed that it had deviated from its designated flight path, entered a steep descent, and ultimately crashed into the sea.

The aircraft's wreckage was discovered several days after the disappearance, scattered across the ocean floor, with the recovery of both black boxes (cockpit voice recorder and flight data recorder) providing critical insights into the accident.

Investigations and Findings

Cause of the Crash

The official investigation conducted by Indonesia's National Transportation Safety Committee (NTSC) concluded that the primary cause of the crash was a combination of pilot error and inadequate aircraft maintenance.

Key findings included:

- The pilots experienced a series of failures to properly manage the aircraft's autopilot and altitude controls.
- The aircraft entered an unrecoverable aerodynamic stall due to mismanagement of the flight controls.
- Contributing factors included defective aircraft systems, specifically issues with the aircraft's autopilot and altitude warning systems.
- The airline's operational oversight was found to be lacking, with insufficient pilot training on handling abnormal situations.

Role of Pilot Error and System Failures

The cockpit voice recorder revealed that the pilots may have become disoriented during the flight, especially in the face of system warnings. The pilots failed to respond appropriately to the aircraft's altitude alerts and did not follow standard emergency procedures. Additionally, maintenance records indicated that some aircraft components had not been properly serviced, compromising the aircraft's safety systems.

Impact of Airline Safety Culture

The investigation also highlighted issues within Adam Air's safety culture, including:

- Rapid fleet expansion without adequate pilot training.
- Insufficient safety oversight and regulatory compliance.
- Pressure to maximize flight schedules at the expense of safety protocols.

These systemic issues underscored the importance of robust safety management systems within airlines operating in Indonesia and beyond.

Consequences and Impact

Regulatory and Industry Reforms

The Adam Air Flight 574 crash prompted significant reforms in Indonesian aviation regulation:

- Stricter enforcement of airline safety standards.
- Enhanced pilot training requirements.
- Improved aircraft maintenance protocols.
- Greater oversight by the Indonesian Directorate General of Civil Aviation.

International aviation bodies also reviewed safety standards for airlines operating in Southeast Asia, emphasizing the importance of compliance with global best practices.

Lessons Learned

This tragic incident underscored several vital lessons for the aviation industry:

- The critical importance of rigorous pilot training, especially in handling system failures.

- The need for regular aircraft maintenance and system checks.
- The significance of a safety-first culture within airlines.
- The necessity of effective air traffic control and communication procedures.

Memorials and Remembrance

In the wake of the crash, memorials were established to honor the victims. Families and communities mourned the loss, and annual remembrance events serve as a reminder of the importance of aviation safety.

What Happened to Adam Air?

Operational Challenges and Closure

Following the accident, Adam Air faced scrutiny over its operational practices. Investigations revealed that the airline was operating with safety deficiencies, including poor maintenance and insufficient crew training. The airline's reputation suffered, and it was eventually grounded in 2008.

The Indonesian government revoked Adam Air's operating license amid mounting safety concerns. The airline ceased operations, and its fleet was phased out or sold off.

Regulatory Reforms Post-Accident

The crash served as a catalyst for Indonesia to overhaul its aviation safety regulations:

- Implementation of more rigorous aircraft certification processes.
- Enhanced pilot licensing and recurrent training.
- Increased transparency and accountability within airline operations.
- Collaboration with international aviation safety organizations.

Conclusion: Reflecting on the Adam Air Flight 574 Crash

The Adam Air Flight 574 crash remains a stark reminder of the devastating consequences of lapses in aviation safety. It exposed vulnerabilities in airline operations, aircraft maintenance, pilot training, and regulatory oversight. The tragedy prompted meaningful reforms within Indonesia's aviation sector and reinforced the global understanding that safety must always be prioritized above operational or financial pressures.

While the loss of 102 lives is a somber chapter in aviation history, the lessons learned continue to shape safer skies worldwide. Ongoing vigilance, improved safety protocols, and a culture of responsibility are essential to prevent similar tragedies in the future. Remembering the victims and understanding the causes of the accident serve as a solemn reminder of the importance of continuous improvement in aviation safety standards.

Keywords: Adam Air Flight 574, airplane crash Indonesia, aviation safety, airline accident investigation, aircraft maintenance, pilot error, Indonesia aviation reform, Makassar Strait crash, Boeing 737-400 accident

Frequently Asked Questions

What caused the Adam Air Flight 574 crash?

The crash was primarily caused by pilot error, mismanagement of the aircraft's autopilot system, and unreliable altitude readings, which led to the aircraft flying into the sea.

When did Adam Air Flight 574 crash occur?

Adam Air Flight 574 crashed on January 1, 2007.

How many people were onboard Adam Air Flight 574, and how many survived?

There were 102 people onboard, including passengers and crew, and all were tragically lost in the crash; there were no survivors.

What was the aircraft model involved in the Adam Air Flight 574 accident?

The accident involved a Boeing 737-400 aircraft.

What were the main findings of the investigation into the Adam Air Flight 574 crash?

The investigation found that pilot error, fatigue, and inadequate airline safety oversight contributed to the crash, along with unreliable altitude data provided by faulty instruments.

What safety lessons were learned from the Adam Air Flight 574 disaster?

The crash highlighted the importance of strict safety protocols, reliable aircraft instruments, proper crew training, and effective oversight of airline operations.

Did the Adam Air Flight 574 crash lead to changes in airline safety regulations?

Yes, the incident prompted Indonesian authorities to tighten airline safety standards, improve pilot training, and enhance aircraft maintenance procedures.

Is there a memorial or tribute for the victims of Adam Air Flight 574?

Yes, memorials have been established in Indonesia to honor the victims and promote awareness of aviation safety.

Additional Resources

Adam Air Flight 574 Crash: A Comprehensive Analysis

The tragedy of Adam Air Flight 574 remains one of the most significant aviation disasters in Indonesian history, highlighting critical issues related to aircraft maintenance, pilot training, and air traffic control. This detailed review aims to dissect every aspect of the crash, from its background and sequence of events to the investigation findings and safety implications.

Background of Adam Air and Flight 574

About Adam Air

- Founded: 2003, as a low-cost airline based in Indonesia.
- Operational Focus: Domestic flights within Indonesia, primarily connecting major cities like Jakarta, Surabaya, and Bali.
- Fleet: Predominantly Boeing 737 aircraft, notably Boeing 737-400 models.
- Safety Record: The airline faced multiple safety concerns and was subject to regulatory scrutiny before the crash.

Details of Flight 574

- Date: January 1, 2007
- Aircraft: Boeing 737-400, registration PK-KKW
- Route: From Jakarta (Soekarno-Hatta International Airport) to Manado (Sam Ratulangi International Airport)
- Passengers and Crew: 96 passengers and 6 crew members, totaling 102 individuals onboard
- Purpose: Routine commercial flight during the New Year period

The Sequence of Events Leading to the Crash

Pre-Flight Preparations and Departure

- The aircraft was cleared for takeoff from Jakarta's runway 25R.
- Initial flight phases proceeded normally, with the crew maintaining standard procedures.

In-Flight Anomalies

- Approximately 35 minutes after takeoff, the aircraft's autopilot disengaged unexpectedly.
- The crew reported multiple systems malfunctioning, including issues with the aircraft's altitude and attitude indicators.
- The crew attempted to troubleshoot but encountered persistent problems, leading to confusion and difficulty maintaining control.

Loss of Control and Descent

- The aircraft entered a steep descent, reportedly with the pilots struggling to stabilize the plane.
- Despite radio contact with air traffic control, the crew was unable to recover from the descent.
- The plane crashed into the sea off the northern coast of Sulawesi, Indonesia, near the island of Manado.

Impact and Casualties

- The crash resulted in the death of all 102 onboard.
- Wreckage and bodies were recovered from the ocean over the subsequent days.
- The scene underscored the severity of the accident and the importance of swift search and rescue operations.

Investigation Findings

Aircraft Maintenance and Oversight

- Key Issue: The aircraft had a history of maintenance irregularities.
- The Boeing 737-400 involved had undergone repairs and modifications, some of which were not properly documented.
- The cockpit voice recorder (CVR) and flight data recorder (FDR) revealed that systems related to altitude and attitude indicators malfunctioned repeatedly before the crash.
- The investigation uncovered that the aircraft's inertial reference system (IRS) was faulty, leading to unreliable instrument readings.

Role of Pilot Response and Training

- The crew struggled to diagnose and respond effectively to the system failures.

- Evidence suggested that pilots lacked sufficient training on handling such complex in-flight emergencies, especially with unreliable instruments.
- There was confusion about whether the pilots followed proper emergency procedures or misinterpreted system indications.

Automation and Human Factors

- The aircraft's autopilot disengaged unexpectedly due to sensor failures.
- The pilots' reliance on unreliable instruments led to spatial disorientation.
- The crash highlighted issues related to automation dependency, pilot situational awareness, and decision-making under stress.

Regulatory and Organizational Shortcomings

- Indonesian aviation authorities were criticized for inadequate oversight of airline maintenance practices.
- Adam Air's rapid expansion and possibly lax safety culture contributed to systemic issues.
- The airline had a history of safety violations and was under scrutiny before the crash.

Technical Analysis of the Accident

Aircraft Systems and Failures

- The inertial navigation system (INS) and IRS failures caused inaccurate attitude and position data.
- Faulty sensors triggered a cascade of system malfunctions, including autopilot disengagement.
- The crew faced unreliable altitude data, complicating their ability to maintain proper flight parameters.

Human-Machine Interaction

- The pilots received conflicting information from instruments, leading to confusion.
- The manual handling of the aircraft in the face of system failures was hampered by insufficient training.
- The crew's attempts to manually control the aircraft without reliable instruments resulted in a loss of situational awareness.

Contributing Factors

- Inadequate maintenance and checks of critical navigation systems.
- Lack of effective pilot training on emergency scenarios involving instrument failures.
- Possible fatigue or stress among crew members during the flight.

Aftermath and Impact

Safety and Regulatory Reforms

- The crash prompted Indonesia's Directorate General of Civil Aviation (DGCA) to implement stricter safety oversight.
- Enhanced training requirements for pilots, especially in handling instrument failures.
- Improved maintenance protocols and safety audits for airlines operating within Indonesia.

Changes within Adam Air

- The airline faced significant reputation damage and eventually ceased operations in 2008.
- The incident served as a wake-up call for airline safety culture and regulatory compliance.

Broader Industry Implications

- The crash underscored the risks of rapid airline expansion without adequate safety oversight.
- It highlighted the necessity for rigorous maintenance, crew training, and adherence to international safety standards.
- The incident contributed to global discussions on automation reliance and pilot preparedness for system failures.

Lessons Learned and Safety Recommendations

- **Robust Maintenance:** Regular, documented, and thorough maintenance checks are vital, especially for critical navigation and autopilot systems.
- **Comprehensive Pilot Training:** Pilots must be trained to handle complex emergencies, including unreliable instrument scenarios and manual control without automation support.
- **Enhanced Regulatory Oversight:** Authorities should enforce strict safety standards and conduct regular audits to prevent lapses.
- **Automation Awareness:** While automation aids safety, pilots need to maintain situational awareness and be prepared to override or troubleshoot automated systems effectively.
- **Emergency Preparedness:** Airlines should develop clear emergency procedures and simulate crisis scenarios regularly.

Conclusion

The Adam Air Flight 574 tragedy stands as a stark reminder of the complexities and vulnerabilities inherent in modern aviation. It exposed deficiencies in maintenance, pilot training, and regulatory oversight that contributed to the loss of 102 lives. The incident prompted constructive changes in Indonesian aviation safety standards and underscored the importance of vigilance in airline operations worldwide. While technological advancements have significantly enhanced flight safety, human factors and organizational culture remain crucial elements in preventing such disasters. The lessons learned from this accident continue to resonate within the aviation industry, emphasizing that safety is an ongoing, collective responsibility.

Adam Air Flight 574 Crash

adam air flight 574 crash: Air Crash Investigations: The Plane That Vanished, the Crash of Adam Air Flight 574 George Cramoisi, 2010-07-13 On 1 January 2007, a Boeing 737-4Q8, operated by Adam Air as flight DHI 574, was on a flight from Surabaya, East Java to Manado, Sulawesi, at FL 350 (35,000 feet) when it suddenly disappeared from radar. There were 102 people on board.. Nine days later wreckage was found floating in the sea near the island of Sulawesi. The black boxes revealed that the pilots were so engrossed in trouble shooting the IRS that they forgot to fly the plane, resulting in the crash that cost the lives of all aboard.

adam air flight 574 crash: AIR CRASH INVESTIGATIONS: BURNED ALIVE IN MADRID, The Crash of Spanair Flight JKK5022 Allistair Fitzgerald, editor, 2012-02-01 On 20 August 2008, Spanair flight JKK5022, a McDonnell Douglas DC-9-82 departed Madrid Barajas Airport on its way to Gran Canaria Airport. During take-off the aircraft crashed, due to pilot errors, near the end of runway 36L, killing 154 of the 172 people on board.

adam air flight 574 crash: AIR CRASH INVESTIGATIONS, LOST OVER THE ATLANTIC The Crash of Air France Flight 447 THE FINAL REPORT George Cramoisi, editor, 2012-09-01 On 31 May 2009, the Airbus A330 flight AF 447 took off from Rio de Janeiro Galeo airport bound for Paris Charles de Gaulle. At around 2 h 02, the Captain left the cockpit for a short nap. At around 2 h 08, at flight level 350, the crew made a course change of 12 degrees to the left, to avoid bad weather. At 2h 10min 05, likely following the obstruction of the Pitot probes by ice crystals, the speed indications were incorrect and some automatic systems disconnected. The aeroplane's flight path was not controlled by the two copilots. They were rejoined 1 minute 30 later by the Captain, while the aeroplane was in a stall situation that lasted until the impact with the sea at 2 h 14 min 28 s, killing all 228 persons on board. It took almost two years to recover the wreck of the aircraft from a depth of 4.000 metres. The accident resulted from a succession of events, such as inconsistency between the measured airspeeds, inappropriate control inputs, and the crew's failure to diagnose the stall situation

adam air flight 574 crash: AIR CRASH INVESTIGATIONS, GROSS NEGLIGENCE KILLS 151, The Crash of Union des Transports Aeriens de Guinee Flight GHI 141 George Cramoisi, editor, 2012-08-01 On 25 December 2003, Union des Transport A riens de Guin e Flight GIH 141, a Boeing 727-223, on a flight from Conakry (Guinea) to Kufra (Libya), Beirut (Lebanon) and Dubai (United Arab Emirates) stopped over at Cotonou, Republic of Benin. During takeoff the overloaded airplane, was not able to climb properly and struck an airport building on the extended runway centerline, and crashed onto the beach and ended up in the ocean, killing 151 of the 163 people on board. The cause of the accident was the difficulty for the flight crew to rotate with an overloaded airplane with an unknown center of gravity. This in combination with the facts that the operator of

the airline lacked any competence regarding organization and regulatory documentation, which made it impossible to correctly load and check the loading of the airplane, and the inadequacy of the supervision exercised by the Guinean civil aviation authorities in the context of safety oversight.

adam air flight 574 crash: AIR CRASH INVESTIGATIONS A DISASTROUS SPARK The Crash of TWA 800 George Cramoisi, Editor, 2013-01-01 On July 17, 1996, about 2031 eastern daylight time, Trans World Airlines, Inc. (TWA) flight 800, a Boeing 747, crashed in the Atlantic Ocean near East Moriches, New York. TWA flight 800 was a scheduled international passenger flight from John F. Kennedy International Airport (JFK), New York, New York, to Charles DeGaulle International Airport, Paris, France. All 230 people on board were killed, and the airplane was destroyed. The weather was good. The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable cause of the accident was an explosion of the center wing fuel tank, resulting from ignition of the flammable fuel/air mixture in the tank. Contributing factors to the accident were the design and certification concept that fuel tank explosions could be prevented solely by precluding all ignition sources and the design and certification of the Boeing 747. The safety issues in this report focus on fuel tank flammability.

adam air flight 574 crash: AIR CRASH INVESTIGATIONS, WHY DID IT HAPPEN? The Crash of Sikorsky S-76A Helicopter G-BJXX Hank Williamson, editor, 2012-08-01 On March 23, 2004, about 1918:34 central standard time, an Era Aviation Sikorsky S-76A helicopter, N579EH, crashed into the Gulf of Mexico about 70 nautical miles south-southeast of Scholes International Airport (GLS), Galveston, Texas. The helicopter was en route to the drilling ship Discoverer Spirit. The captain, copilot, and eight passengers aboard the helicopter were killed, and the helicopter was destroyed by impact forces. The flight was operating under the provisions of 14 Code of Federal Regulations Part 135 on a visual flight rules flight plan. Night visual meteorological conditions prevailed at the time of the accident. The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable cause of this accident was the flight crew's failure to identify and arrest the helicopter's descent for undetermined reasons, which resulted in controlled flight into terrain.

adam air flight 574 crash: AIR CRASH INVESTIGATIONS, PILOT ERROR? The Crash of Ethiopian Airlines Flight 409 Hans Griffioen, editor, 2012-04 On 25 January 2010, at 00:41:30 UTC, Ethiopian Airlines flight ET 409, a Boeing 737-800, on its way from Beirut to Addis Ababa, crashed just after take-off from Rafic Hariri International Airport in Beirut, Lebanon, into the Mediterranean Sea about 5 NM South West of Beirut International Airport. All 90 persons on board were killed in the accident. The investigation concluded that the probable causes of the accident were pilot errors due to loss of situational awareness. Ethiopian Airlines refutes this conclusion. Other factors that could have lead to probable causes are the increased workload and stress levels that have most likely led to the captain reaching a situation of loss of situational awareness similar to a subtle incapacitation and the F/O failure to recognize it or to intervene accordingly. Ethiopian Airlines refutes the investigation. According to the airline the final report was biased, lacking evidence, incomplete and did not present the full account of the accident.

adam air flight 574 crash: AIR CRASH INVESTIGATIONS, CAPTAIN LOST CONTROL The Crash of Kenya Airways Flight 507 Hank Williamson, editor, 2012-07-01 During the night of 04th May 2007, the B737-800, registration 5Y-KYA, operated by Kenya Airways as flight KQA 507 from Abidjan international airport (C te d'Ivoire), to the Jomo Kenyatta airport Nairobi (Kenya), made a scheduled stop-over at the Douala international airport (Cameroon). The weather was stormy. A number of departing planes decided to wait for the weather to improve. Kenya Airways, however, decided to depart. Shortly after take-off at about 1000 ft, the aircraft entered into a slow right roll that increased continuously and eventually ended up in a spiral dive. On the 5th May 2007 at approximately 0008 hrs, the airplane crashed in a mangrove swamp South-South/East of Douala. All 114 people on board were killed and the airplane was completely destroyed. The airplane crashed after loss of control by the crew as a result of spatial disorientation, after a long slow roll, during which no instrument scanning was done, and in the absence of external visual references in a dark night.

adam air flight 574 crash: AIR CRASH INVESTIGATIONS: DEADLY MISTAKES The Crash of Air China Flight 129 George Cramoisi, editor, 2012-04 On April 15, 2002, Air China flight 129, a Boeing 767-200ER, operated by Air China, en route from Beijing, China to Busan, Korea, crashed on Mt. Dotdae, near Gimhae Airport, Busan. Of the 166 persons on board, 37 persons survived the crash, while 129 occupants were killed. The Korean Aviation Accident Investigation Board (KAAIB) determined that the probable cause of the crash was pilot error due to poor crew resource management and lost situational awareness during the circling approach of the runway. The Chinese investigation team pointed out that the Korean ATC was not fully licensed and mistakenly directed the airliner to descend to a wrong altitude and that the airport did not inform the crew of the weather conditions at the time. A contributing factor was that the airline made all announcements in Chinese and English, while most passengers were Korean.

adam air flight 574 crash: AIR CRASH INVESTIGATIONS - Loss of Cargo Door - The Near Crash of United Airlines Flight 811 Dirk Barreveld, 2015-12 On February 24, 1989, United Airlines flight 811, a Boeing 747-122, lost a cargo door as it was climbing between 22,000 and 23,000 feet after taking off from Honolulu, Hawaii, en route to Sydney, Australia with 355 persons aboard. As a result of the incident nine of the passengers were ejected from the airplane and lost at sea. The cargo door was recovered in two pieces from the ocean floor at a depth of 14,200 feet on September 26 and October 1, 1990. The probable cause of this accident was a faulty switch or wiring in the door control system. Contributing to the cause of the accident was a deficiency in the design of the cargo door locking mechanisms. Also contributing to the accident was a lack of timely corrective actions by Boeing and the FAA following a 1987 cargo door opening incident on a Pan Am B-747.

adam air flight 574 crash: AIR CRASH INVESTIGATIONS - KILLING 290 CIVILIANS - THE DOWNING OF IRAN AIR FLIGHT 655 BY THE USS VINCENNES Dirk Barreveld, 2018-10-07 On July 3, 1988, the American navy ship USS Vincennes, a Ticonderoga-class guided missile cruiser operating in the Persian Gulf, shot down Iran Air Flight 655, an Airbus A300B2-203, on its way from Tehran to Dubai. All 290 people on board died. Iran Air 655 flew within its assigned corridor. The USS Vincennes thought it had to deal with an Iranian F-14 fighter jet. From this point of view it was simply a case of mistaken identity. It is amazing that a guided missile cruiser with extremely advanced electronic capabilities such as the USS Vincennes, equipped with an ultra modern system such as Aegis, could make such a case of mistaken identity. Although the U.S. had to pay damages, a clear admission of guilt, the officers and commander of the Vincennes received awards and decorations after all.

adam air flight 574 crash: AIR CRASH INVESTIGATIONS - Runway Overrun American Airlines Flight 1420 - Killing 11 Persons In Little Rock Dirk Barreveld, 2018-06-28 On June 1, 1999, at 2350:44 central daylight time, American Airlines flight 1420, a McDonnell Douglas DC-9-82, crashed after it overran the end of runway 4R during landing at Little Rock National Airport in Little Rock, Arkansas. The flight originated from Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport, Texas. There were 145 persons on board. The airplane was destroyed by impact forces and a postcrash fire. The captain and 10 passengers were killed; 120 crewmembers and passengers received serious or minor injuries; and 24 passengers were not injured. The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the probable causes were the flight crew's failure to discontinue the approach when severe thunderstorms.

adam air flight 574 crash: Air Crash Investigations - Korean Air Lines Flight 007 Shot Down - All 269 Persons on Board Killed Dirk Barreveld, 2018-10-20 On 31 August 1983, Korean Air Lines Flight 007, a Boeing 747, departed John F. Kennedy International Airport, New York, United States, on a scheduled flight for Seoul, Republic of Korea. The flight had 269 persons on board. Soon after departure from Anchorage, Alaska, KE 007 deviated to the right (north) of its direct track, this deviation resulted in penetration of Soviet Russian air space. Military aircraft operated by the USSR attempted to intercept KE 007 over Kamchatka Peninsula. The interception attempts were unsuccessful. Upon approaching Sakhalin Island, USSR, the flight was intercepted by USSR military aircraft and shot down on the assumption that it was a United States RC-135 (spy)

aircraft. There were no survivors.

adam air flight 574 crash: AIR CRASH INVESTIGATIONS - SHOT DOWN OVER

UKRAINE? - The Crash of Malaysia Airlines Flight MH17 Dirk Jan Barreveld, editor, 2019-08-23 On 17 July 2014, at 13.20 a Boeing 777-200 with the Malaysia Airlines nationality and registration mark 9M-MRD disappeared to the west of the TAMAK air navigation waypoint in Ukraine. The aeroplane impacted the ground in the eastern part of Ukraine, near the villages of Hrabove, Rozsypne and Petropavlivka. All 298 persons on board lost their lives. The in-flight disintegration of the aeroplane near the Ukrainian/ Russian border was the result of the detonation of a warhead. The weapon used was a 9N314M-model warhead carried on the 9M38-series of missiles, as installed on the Buk surface-to-air missile system. But was MH17 really hit by a 9N314M model war head mounted on a 9M38 series missile? Careful examination of the available facts show that the conclusion of the Dutch Safety Board (DSB) are questionable to say the least. The report is a mixture of an air crash investigation and a criminal investigation.

adam air flight 574 crash: Aftermath Robert Firth, 2013-02 Tenerife, the worst accident in aviation history; like all pilots, Captain Van Zanten's decision to go for the take-off was only one of the many thousands of decisions he had made in his career. Rain, snow or fog obscuring the view of the entire runway was not uncommon and something he had experienced many times. He was thinking about many things; the delays, his inconvenienced passengers, the schedule, and the flight legs facing him after dropping his passengers just 25 minutes away. Of course, he was 100% certain that the Pan Am aircraft was clear of the runway. As his aircraft was gaining speed, he was readying himself for the mental switch from visual to instruments as he would be climbing through the fog. The instant he saw the Pan Am aircraft looming into view directly ahead of him he knew, he knew right then and right there, he knew he was dead, he knew they were all dead.....everything flashed through his mind... Instinctually, he pulled back on the yoke.....but he knew... No pilot would ever consider, for a moment, initiating a take-off unless he was absolutely certain the runway was clear. Van Zanten's decision to shove those power levers forward began a terrible inevitable chain of horrendous events sending a enormous shock wave of loss and sorrow down through the decades. His two children never saw their dad again. Consider the hundreds dead, each with many close friends, wives and children, relatives and associates, all suffering from this captain's fateful decision. As the wrecked, tortured and doomed fuselage hurled itself toward its' fiery destruction, he, in those last seconds, understood everything.... The survivors and relatives of the dead have to live for the rest of their lives with their losses and, every hour of every day, they remember and are, in this sense, forever damaged.. the changes are profound and permanent, deep scars in the psyche. AFTERMATH, speaks to these things..... In a way, the accumulated grief and loss of the aftermath eventually eclipses the enormity of the horrendous event itself ...

adam air flight 574 crash: Introduction to International Disaster Management Damon Coppola, 2020-09-16 Introduction to International Disaster Management, Fourth Edition, offers an unbiased, global perspective for students and practitioners alike. It provides a comprehensive understanding of the disaster management profession, covering the varied sources of risk and vulnerability, the systems that exist to manage hazard risk, and the many different stakeholders involved, from individuals to global organizations. This text also serves as a reference on scores of disaster management topics, including various technological and intentional hazards, on international disaster management structures and systems, on global humanitarian spending and support, and much more. Taking a real-world approach with considerable illustration through case studies and recent and historical disaster events, this book prepares students interested in joining the disaster management community to understand the work they will be doing. In addition, it assists those who already work with the disaster management community by helping them better navigate this complex environment. - Includes sections on the Ebola epidemic, the Nepal Earthquake, the 2015/2016 Western U.S. Wildfires, the Indonesia Palm Oil Fires, Hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria, the Mexico City Earthquake, emerging hazards like trash avalanches, and more - Provides a valuable introduction on the groundbreaking Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk

[Back to Home](#)